UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JAMES COTTRELL,

Plaintiff,

٧.

ORDER 04-CV-204

ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, et al.,

Defendant.

This case was referred to Magistrate Judge Hugh B. Scott, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Plaintiff (proceeding *pro se* initially as an inmate), has failed to appear at two Court conferences, including one sent with an <u>Irby</u> notice attached. Correspondence, including Orders setting these conferences sent by the Court were returned from plaintiff's last known address, indicating that he was released from that last address. Under this Court's Local Civil Rule 5.2, plaintiffs proceeding *pro se* are obliged to furnish the Court with their current address and to update the Court upon any change of address, as well as to be familiar with and abide by all local rules. As a result of plaintiff's failure to advise the Court of a change in address or to appear at scheduled conferences, the Magistrate Judge recommended that this action be dismissed with prejudice against plaintiff for failure to prosecute.

Case 1:04-cv-00204-RJA-HBS Document 33 Filed 10/24/07 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff filed objections to the Report and Recommendation on July 31,

2007.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court must make a de novo

determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which

objections have been made. Upon a de novo review of the Report and

Recommendation, and after reviewing the submissions and hearing argument

from the parties, the Court adopts the proposed findings of the Report and

Recommendation.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in Magistrate Judge Scott's Report

and Recommendation, this case is dismissed with prejudice for failure to

prosecute. The Clerk of Court shall take all steps necessary to close the case.

SO ORDERED.

s/ Richard J. Arcara

HONORABLE RICHARD J. ARCARA CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATED: October 24, 2007

2